arXiv:1407.3709v3 [math.CV] 13 Jun 2016

RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEMS WITH SINGULARITIES

FLORIAN BERTRAND AND GIUSEPPE DELLA SALA

Abstract. This paper is devoted to Riemann-Hilbert problems with singularities, that is, problems in which the matrix map G of the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert operator is not valued in $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$. We show how some of these problems can be reformulated as Riemann-Hilbert problems with pointwise constraints, and obtain results characterizing the properties of the operator in terms of certain indices associated to G.

Introduction

Let Δ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $b\Delta$ be its boundary. The classical Riemann-Hilbert problem can be formulated as follows (see Chapter XVI in [9]): given two continuous functions $g : b\Delta \to \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\varphi : b\Delta \to \mathbb{R}$, find a holomorphic function $f : \overline{\Delta} \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous up to $b\Delta$ such that

$$\text{Re } [\overline{g}f] = \varphi$$

on $b\Delta$. The problem was introduced by B. Riemann in 1851 and by D. Hilbert in 1905. D. Hilbert solved the problem in [6] using the theory of singular integral equations. In 1908, J. Plemelj [8] provided an independent solution by means of the so-called Sokhotski-Plemelj's formula. The problem has been generalized in higher dimension; see [10] for a detailed exposition: given two continuous maps $G : b\Delta \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi : b\Delta \to \mathbb{R}^n$, find a holomorphic map $f : \overline{\Delta} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ continuous up to $b\Delta$ satisfying the boundary condition

$$\text{Re } \big[\overline{G}f\big] = \varphi$$

on $b\Delta$. The latter was solved using Birkhoff's factorization [2] which generalizes Sokhotski-Plemelj's formula in higher dimension [10] (see also [3, 4, 5]). It turns out that the existence of a solution as well as the dimension of the solution space depend on certain integers associated to G, namely the *partial indices* and the *Maslov index*.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q15, 30E25, 32A30.

Research of the first author was supported by Austrian Science Fund FWF grant M1461-N25 and by a CAMS fellowship.

Research of the second author was supported by Austrian Science Fund FWF grant P24878 N25 and by a CAMS fellowship.

The aim of this paper is to study a class of singular Riemann-Hilbert problems where the matrix map G is not necessarily valued in $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$. In the cases that interest us, G admits a singularity at the point $\zeta = 1$ which is of finite type in the sense that the function $\det G(\zeta)$ vanishes at 1 to finite order; see also the work of E.J. Beggs [1] in case G is nonnegative Hermitian with singularities.

In our context, the indices mentioned above are no longer well-defined and the method based on Birkhoff's factorization does not apply. Our approach is, roughly speaking, to "factorize the non-singular part" $\widetilde{G}$ of G and to study the associated Riemann-Hilbert problem which is, however, defined between different functional spaces. We then show that this sort of problem can be analyzed by a suitable modification of the approach introduced in [4, 5]. The main results in this regard are collected in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 where we obtain index formulas governing the problem, in particular, in relation to existence of solutions and the dimension of the solution space.

The Riemann-Hilbert problem has, among others, important applications in the study of holomorphic discs attached to non degenerate (e.g. Levi non degenerate or totally real) submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$ [7, 3, 4, 5]. In case the submanifold under consideration is degenerate the associated Riemann-Hilbert problem turns out to admit singularities of the form studied in this paper. In a future work, we will apply the techniques developed here to this geometric problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we define the functional spaces we will be dealing with. In Section 2 we consider one- and two-dimensional Riemann-Hilbert problems with constraints. Then, in Section 3 we use these results to deal with such problems in arbitrary dimension and we give applications to Riemann-Hilbert type problems with singularities.

1. Function spaces

We denote by Δ the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and by $b\Delta$ its boundary.

Let k be an integer and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} = \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(b\Delta,\mathbb{R})$ the space of real-valued functions defined on $b\Delta$ of class $C^{k,\alpha}$. The space $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ is endowed with its usual norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \|v^{(j)}\|_\infty + \sup_{\zeta\neq\eta\in b\Delta} \frac{\|v^{(k)}(\zeta) - v^{(k)}(\eta)\|}{|\zeta-\eta|^\alpha},$$

where $\|v^{(j)}\|_\infty = \max_{b\Delta}\|v^{(j)}\|$.

We define $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_e$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_o$) to be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ given by the even (resp. odd) functions, i.e. the functions $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ such that $v(-\zeta) = v(\zeta)$ (resp. $v(-\zeta) = -v(\zeta)$) for all $\zeta \in b\Delta$.

We set $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha} + i\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$. Hence $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} v, \operatorname{Im} v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$. The space $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equipped with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \|\operatorname{Re} v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}} + \|\operatorname{Im} v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of functions $f : \overline{\Delta} \to \mathbb{C}$, holomorphic on Δ with trace on $b\Delta$ belonging to $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let m be an integer. We define $(1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ to be the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of the functions that can be written as $(1-\zeta)^m f$, with $f \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$. Note that $(1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ is not a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$, thus it is not a Banach space with the induced norm. Instead, we equip $(1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ with the following norm

$$\|(1-\zeta)^m f\|_{(1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}},$$

which makes it a Banach space, isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$. Notice that the inclusion of $(1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ into $\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ is a bounded linear operator.

Finally, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ consisting of elements that can be written as $(1-\zeta)^m v$ with $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We equip $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$ with the norm

$$\|(1-\zeta)^m f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$ is a Banach space. Denote by τ_m the map $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m} \to \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\tau_m((1-\zeta)^m v) = v$.

Lemma 1.1. *Define the closed subspace $\mathcal{R}_m$ of $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by*

$$\mathcal{R}_m := \{v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid v(\zeta) = (-1)^m\zeta^{-m}\overline{v(\zeta)} \ \ \forall\, \zeta \in b\Delta\}.$$

Then

(i) τ_m maps $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{R}_m$;
(ii) if $m = 2m'$ is even, the map $v \mapsto \zeta^{m'}v$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{R}_m$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$;
(iii) if $m = 2m'+1$ is odd, the map $v \mapsto \zeta^{m'}v$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{R}_m$ and $\mathcal{R}_1$.

Furthermore, if m is odd the map $v(\zeta) \mapsto i\zeta^m v(\zeta^2)$ sends $\mathcal{R}_m$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_o$.

Proof. A function $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is in the image of τ_m exactly when $(1-\zeta)^m v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$, i.e.

$$(1-\zeta)^m v = (1-\overline{\zeta})^m\overline{v} = (-1)^m\zeta^{-m}(1-\zeta)^m\overline{v},$$

which gives the first point (note that τ_m is an isometry by definition of the norms).

If $m = 2m'$ and $v \in \mathcal{R}_m$, $u = \zeta^{m'}v$, we have

$$u = \zeta^{m'}v = \zeta^{m'}\zeta^{-2m'}\overline{v} = \zeta^{-m'}\overline{v} = \overline{u},$$

hence $u \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$.

If $m = 2m' + 1$ and $v \in \mathcal{R}_m$, $u = \zeta^{m'} v$, we have

$$u = \zeta^{m'} v = -\zeta^{m'} \zeta^{-2m'+1} \overline{v} = -\overline{\zeta} \zeta^{-m'} \overline{v} = -\overline{\zeta u},$$

hence $u \in \mathcal{R}_1$.

Finally, letting $u(\zeta) = i\zeta^m v(\zeta^2)$ with $v \in \mathcal{R}_m$ and m odd we have

$$u(\zeta) = i\zeta^m v(\zeta^2) = -i\zeta^m \zeta^{-2m} \overline{v(\zeta^2)} = -i\zeta^{-m} \overline{v(\zeta)} = \overline{u(\zeta)},$$

and furthermore $u(-\zeta) = (-1)^m u(\zeta) = -u(\zeta)$, hence $u \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_o$. □

2. One- and two-dimensional Riemann-Hilbert problems with constraints

Consider the following classical linear Riemann-Hilbert problem which consists, for a given integer r, in finding $f \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Re}\,[\zeta^{-r} f(\zeta)] = 0 \ \text{ on } b\Delta. \tag{2.1}$$

Equation (2.1) leads to

$$f(\zeta) + \zeta^{2r} \overline{f(\zeta)} = 0 \ \text{ on } b\Delta.$$

We consider a more general situation:

Lemma 2.1. *Let l be an integer. Consider the operator $L^{\pm} : \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha} \to \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ defined by*

$$L^{\pm}(f) := (f \pm \zeta^l \overline{f})|_{b\Delta}.$$

Then the kernel of $L^{\pm}$ has real dimension equal to $\max\{l+1, 0\}$.

Indeed it is straightforward to check that if $f \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ satisfies

$$f \pm \zeta^l \overline{f} = 0 \ \text{ on } b\Delta, \tag{2.2}$$

then

$$f(\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} a_k \zeta^k$$

where $a_k = \pm\overline{a_{l-k}}$ for $k = 0, \cdots, l$.

Now consider, for $m \geq 0$, the restriction of $L^{\pm}$ to the subspace $(1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$. Equation (2.2) can be written as

$$(1-\zeta)^m f'(\zeta) \pm (-1)^m (1-\zeta)^m \zeta^{l-m} \overline{f'(\zeta)} = 0$$

and thus

$$f'(\zeta) \pm \zeta^{l-m} \overline{f'(\zeta)} = 0.$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we obtain:

Lemma 2.2. *Let l, m be integers. Consider the operator $L^{\pm}_{|} : (1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha} \to \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ defined by*

$$L^{\pm}_{|}(f) := (f \pm \zeta^l \overline{f})|_{b\Delta}.$$

Then the kernel $\operatorname{Ker} L^{\pm}_{|}$ *has real dimension equal to* $\max\{l+1-m, 0\}$.

Now, we study the surjectivity of the operator introduced in Equation (2.1).

Lemma 2.3. *Let r be an integer. Consider the operator $L : (1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha} \to \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$ defined by*

$$L(f) := \operatorname{Re}[\zeta^{-r} f]|_{b\Delta}.$$

Then L is onto if and only if $2r - m \geq -1$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}$. Write $\varphi = (1-\zeta)^m v$ where $v \in \mathcal{R}_m$ (see Lemma 1.1). We need to study the following equation:

$$\zeta^{-r} f - \zeta^r \overline{f} = \varphi$$

for $f \in (1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$. Writing $f = (1-\zeta)^m f'$ with $f' \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ reduces to

$$\zeta^{-r} f' + (-1)^m \zeta^{r-m} \overline{f}' = v. \tag{2.3}$$

We distinguish two cases:
<u>First case:</u> $m = 2m'$ is even. In such case, Equation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\zeta^{-(r-m')} f' + \zeta^{r-m'} \overline{f}' = \zeta^{m'} v. \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that $u \to \zeta^{m'} u$ maps $\mathcal{R}_m$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$, see Lemma 1.1. Equation (2.4) is relatively classical and was treated by J. Globevnik in [5] for instance. There exists such an h' if and only if $r - m' \geq 0$.
<u>Second case:</u> $m = 2m' + 1$ is odd. In this case, Equation (2.3) is equivalent to

$$\zeta^{-(r-m')} f' - \zeta^{r-m'} \overline{\zeta f'} = \zeta^{m'} v. \tag{2.5}$$

Set $u = \zeta^{m'} v$. By Lemma 1.1 follows that $u \in \mathcal{R}_1$. We write $u = u' + u''$, where $u' = \mathcal{P}(u) \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$, $\mathcal{P}$ being the Szegö projection. Since $u = -\overline{\zeta u}$, we have $u'' = -\overline{\zeta u'}$. If $r - m' \geq 0$, then $f' = \zeta^{r-m'} u' \in \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$ and satisfies (2.5). If $r - m' < 0$, then

$$\int \zeta^{-(r-m')} f \, d\theta = \int \zeta^{r-m'-1} \overline{f} \, d\theta = 0$$

and so, for instance, $1 - \overline{\zeta} \in \mathcal{R}_1$ is not in the image. □

Finally, we will also need the following:

Lemma 2.4. *Let r_1, r_2 be integers. Set*

$$P(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\zeta & -i(1-\zeta) \\ i(1-\zeta) & 1+\zeta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{-r_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-r_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the operator $T : \left((1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^2 \to \left(\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}\right)^2$ defined by

$$T(f) := \mathrm{Re}\,[Pf]|_{b\Delta}.$$

Then T is onto if and only if $2r_1 - m \geq 0$ and $2r_2 - m \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \left(\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}\right)^2$. Write $\varphi = (1-\zeta)^m v$ where $v \in \left(\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_{\mathbb{C}}\right)^2$ and $f = (1-\zeta)^m f'$ with $f' \in \left(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^2$. We need to study the following equation:

$$Pf' + (-1)^m \zeta^{-m} \overline{Pf'} = v.$$

First case: $m = 2m'$ is even. In that case, we have

$$\zeta^{m'} Pf' + \zeta^{-m'} \overline{Pf'} = \zeta^{m'} v, \tag{2.6}$$

which was treated by J. Globevnik [5]. In particular, (2.6) admits a solution if and only if $r_1 - m' \geq 0$ and $r_2 - m' \geq 0$.
Second case: $m = 2m' + 1$ is odd. We have

$$\zeta^{m'} Pf' - \zeta^{-m'-1} \overline{Pf'} = \zeta^{m'} v.$$

Following J. Globevnik, we make the substitution $\zeta = \xi^2$ and get

$$\xi^m P(\xi^2) f'(\xi^2) - \xi^{-m} \overline{P(\xi^2) f'(\xi^2)} = \xi^m v(\xi^2),$$

After multiplying by i

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\left[\xi^m P(\xi^2) i f'(\xi^2)\right] = i\xi^m v(\xi^2),$$

which becomes

$$\mathrm{Re}\,\left[\begin{pmatrix} i\xi^{-(2r_1-m-1)} f_1'(\xi^2) \\ i\xi^{-(2r_2-m-1)} f_2'(\xi^2) \end{pmatrix}\right] = 2i \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Re}\,\xi & \mathrm{Im}\,\xi \\ -\mathrm{Im}\,\xi & \mathrm{Re}\,\xi \end{pmatrix} \xi^m v(\xi^2). \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that, according to Lemma 1.1, $2i\xi^m u(\xi^2) \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_o$ and that moreover the map $u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Re}\,\xi & \mathrm{Im}\,\xi \\ -\mathrm{Im}\,\xi & \mathrm{Re}\,\xi \end{pmatrix} u$ is an isomorphism between $(\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_o)^2$ and $(\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_e)^2$. Thus, (2.7) reduces to a pair of one-dimensional problems

$$\xi^{-(2r_j-m-1)} f_j'(\xi^2) + \xi^{2r_j-m-1} \overline{f}_j'(\xi^2) = u_j(\xi)$$

with $u_j \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}_e$, $j = 1, 2$. Writing $u_j(\xi) = u_j'(\xi^2)$ with $u_j' \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$, this equation is in turn equivalent to

$$\zeta^{-(2r_j-m-1)/2} f_j'(\zeta) + \xi^{(2r_j-m-1)/2} \overline{f}_j'(\zeta) = u_j'(\zeta).$$

This problem is of the form considered in Lemma 2.3, and the surjectivity is equivalent to $2r_1 - m - 1 \geq 0$, and $2r_2 - m - 1 \geq 0$. □

3. Linear Riemann-Hilbert problems with pointwise constraints

3.1. **Birkhoff factorization and indices.** Let $G : b\Delta \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth map. One considers a Birkhoff factorization of $-\overline{G(\zeta)}^{-1}G(\zeta)$, *i.e.* some smooth maps $B^+ : \bar{\Delta} \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ and $B^- : (\mathbb{C} \cup \infty) \setminus \Delta \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ such that for all $\zeta \in b\Delta$

$$-\overline{G(\zeta)}^{-1}G(\zeta) = B^+(\zeta) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{\kappa_1} & & & (0) \\ & \zeta^{\kappa_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ (0) & & & \zeta^{\kappa_N} \end{pmatrix} B^-(\zeta)$$

where B^+ and B^- are holomorphic on Δ and $\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\Delta}$ respectively. Note that according to N.P. Vekua [10], one can find B^+ and B^- in such a way that $B^+ = \Theta$ and $B^- = \overline{\Theta^{-1}}$, where $\Theta : \bar{\Delta} \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is a smooth map. The integers $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N$ are called the *partial indices* of $-\overline{G}^{-1}G$ and *the Maslov index* of $-\overline{G}^{-1}G$ is their sum $\kappa := \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j$.

Remark 3.1. We recall that G being smooth - C^1 being enough for the present statement -, the Maslov index coincides with the winding number at the origin of the map $\det\left(-\overline{G}^{-1}G\right)$, namely

$$\operatorname{ind}\det\left(-\overline{\tilde{G}^{-1}}\tilde{G}\right) = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{b\Delta} \frac{\left[\det\left(-\overline{G(\zeta)}^{-1}G(\zeta)\right)\right]'}{\det\left(-\overline{G(\zeta)}^{-1}G(\zeta)\right)}\mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

3.2. **Linear Riemann-Hilbert problems with homogeneous pointwise constraints.** Let k be an integer and let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Consider the following operator

$$L : \left((1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^N \to \left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}\right)^N$$

defined by

$$L(f) := 2\mathrm{Re}\left[\overline{G}f\right],$$

where $G : b\Delta \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth. Denote by $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N$ and by κ the partial indices and the Maslov index of $-\overline{G}^{-1}G$.

3.2.1. *Surjectivity and kernel of L.*

Theorem 3.2. *Under the above assumptions:*

(i) The map L is onto if and only if $\kappa_j \geq m-1$ *for all* $j = 1, \cdots, N$.

(ii) Assume that L is onto. Then the kernel of L has real dimension $\kappa + N - Nm$.

Before proving Theorem 3.2, we need a few more observations. Following [5], one can find a smooth map $V : b\Delta \to GL_N(\mathbb{R})$ such that $V\overline{G} = M(-i\Theta)^{-1}$, where M has a special block-diagonal form and where $\Theta : \overline{\Delta} \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth and holomorphic on Δ. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{G(\zeta)}^{-1}G(\zeta) &= \Theta(\zeta)\begin{pmatrix} \zeta^{\kappa_1} & & (0) \\ & \zeta^{\kappa_2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ (0) & & \zeta^{\kappa_N} \end{pmatrix}\overline{\Theta(\zeta)}^{-1} \\ &= \Theta(\zeta)M(\zeta)^{-1}\overline{M(\zeta)\Theta(\zeta)^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the operator

$$\tilde{L} : \left((1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^N \to \left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^m}\right)^N$$

by setting

$$\tilde{L}(f) := 2\mathrm{Re}\left[M(i\Theta)^{-1}f\right].$$

Since $\Theta : \overline{\Delta} \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth and holomorphic on Δ, the map $(i\Theta)^{-1}$ is a Banach space isomorphism of $\left((1-\zeta)^m \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^N$ onto itself. Therefore the kernels of L and $\tilde{L}$ are of the same dimension and L is onto if and only if $\tilde{L}$ is onto. We will prove Theorem 3.2 for $\tilde{L}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We first prove (i). Since κ is even, the number of odd partial indices is even. Without loss of generality, suppose that κ_j is odd for $j = 1, \cdots, 2r$ and that κ_j is even for $j = 2r+1, \cdots, N$. According to [5], the matrix M can be written as

$$M(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} P_1(\zeta) & & & & & (0) \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & P_r(\zeta) & & & \\ & & & \zeta^{-\frac{\kappa_{2r+1}}{2}} & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ (0) & & & & & \zeta^{-\frac{\kappa_N}{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P_j(\zeta)=\begin{pmatrix}1+\zeta & -i(1-\zeta)\\ i(1-\zeta) & 1+\zeta\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\zeta^{-\frac{\kappa_{2j-1}+1}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & \zeta^{-\frac{\kappa_{2j}+1}{2}}\end{pmatrix}$$

for $j=1,\cdots,r$. Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 proves part (i)

We now prove (ii). Assume that

$$2\mathrm{Re}\,[Mf]=0.$$

The disc $f\in\left((1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^N$ satisfies

$$f=-M^{-1}\overline{Mf}=-\begin{pmatrix}\zeta^{\kappa_1} & & & (0)\\ & \zeta^{\kappa_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ (0) & & & \zeta^{\kappa_N}\end{pmatrix}\overline{f}.$$

which, by means of Lemma 2.2, proves part (ii). □

3.2.2. *Finite jet determination of the kernel of L.* Let $\ell_0,m,N\in\mathbb{N}$. We want to consider the linear map $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_0}:((1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha})^N\to\mathbb{C}^{N(\ell_0+1)}$ sending f to its ℓ_0-jet at $\zeta=1$, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_0}(f)=(f(1),\partial f(1),\dots,\partial_{\ell_0}f(1))\in\mathbb{C}^{N(\ell_0+1)}$$

where $\partial_\ell f(1)\in\mathbb{C}^N$ denotes the vector $\frac{\partial^\ell f}{\partial\zeta^\ell}(1)$ for all $\ell=1,\cdots,\ell_0$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that L is onto and let $\ell_0=\max_{1\le\iota\le N}\{\kappa_\iota\}$. Then the restriction of $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_0}$ to $\ker L$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $\Pi:(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha})^N\to((1-\zeta)^m\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha})^N$ be the isomorphism defined by

$$\Pi(f)=(1-\zeta)^m f.$$

It is clear that the restriction of $\mathfrak{j}_\ell$ to $\ker L$ is injective if and only if the restriction of $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell-m}$ to $\Pi^{-1}(\ker L)$ is injective.

Define the subspace $A(\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_N)\subset(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha})^N$ by the elements $f=(f_1,\dots,f_N)$ satisfying

$$f_\iota(\zeta)=\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_\iota-m}f_{\iota,j}\zeta^j,\ \ f_{\iota,j}=\overline{f}_{\iota,\kappa_\iota-1-j}$$

for all $j=0,\cdots,\kappa_\iota-m$ and $\iota=0,\cdots,N$ where we set $\sum_{j=0}^{\kappa_\iota-m}f_{\iota,j}\zeta^j=0$ in the case $\kappa_\iota=m-1$. Let $\ell_1=\max_{1\le\iota\le N}\{\kappa_\iota-m\}$: we claim that $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}|_{A(\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_N)}$ is injective.

Indeed, let $f\in A(\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_N)$ such that $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}(f)=0$, i.e. $\partial_\ell f(1)=0$ for all $\ell=0,\cdots,\ell_1$. By the choice of ℓ_1, in particular $\frac{\partial^{\kappa_\iota-m}f_\iota}{\partial\zeta^{\kappa_\iota-m}}(1)=0$ for all $\iota=1,\cdots,N$. Since

$$\frac{\partial^{\kappa_\iota-m}f_\iota}{\partial\zeta^{\kappa_\iota-m}}(1)=(\kappa_\iota-m)!f_{\iota,\kappa_\iota-m},$$

we get $f_{\iota,\kappa_\iota-m}=0$ for all $\iota=1,\cdots,N$. This in turn implies that

$$\frac{\partial^{\kappa_\iota-m-1}f_\iota}{\partial\zeta^{\kappa_\iota-m-1}}(1)=(\kappa_\iota-m-1)!f_{\iota,\kappa_\iota-m-1}=0.$$

A straightforward inductive argument then shows that $f_\iota=0$ for all $\iota=1,\cdots,N$.

Now, the proof of Theorem 3.2 implies that $\ker L=\Pi(\Theta_1 A(\kappa_1,\ldots,\kappa_N))$ where $\Theta_1=(i\Theta)^{-1}$. We claim that the restriction of $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}$ to the space $\Theta_1 A(\kappa_1,\ldots,\kappa_N)$ is again injective: by the remarks made at the beginning of the proof, it will follow that the restriction of $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_0}$ to $\ker L$ is injective.

Indeed, for any $\ell\geq 0$ we have

$$\partial_\ell(\Theta_1 f)(1)=\Theta_1(1)\partial_\ell f(1)+R_{\ell-1}$$

where R is a linear function of the $(\ell-1)$-jet of f at 1. It follows that the (well-defined) linear map $\Theta_{\ell_1}:\mathbb{C}^{N(\ell_1+1)}\to\mathbb{C}^{N(\ell_1+1)}$ which sends the ℓ_1-jet of f at 1 to the ℓ_1-jet of $\Theta_1 f$ at 1 has a block-triangular matrix representation whose $N\times N$ blocks in the diagonal are all equal to the non-singular matrix $\Theta_1(1)$. Therefore Θ_{ℓ_1} is invertible, and the claim follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}\circ\Theta_1=\Theta_{\ell_1}\circ\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}$ and that $\mathfrak{j}_{\ell_1}$ is injective on $A(\kappa_1,\ldots,\kappa_N)$.

□

3.3. **Linear Riemann-Hilbert problems with pointwise constraints.** Let $G:b\Delta\to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ be a smooth map such that for all $\zeta\in b\Delta$:

$$G(\zeta)=\begin{pmatrix}G_1(\zeta)&&&(*)\\&G_2(\zeta)&&\\&&\ddots&\\(0)&&&G_r(\zeta)\end{pmatrix},$$

where $G_j(\zeta)\in GL_{N_j}(\mathbb{C})$ for all $j=1,\cdots,r$. Let $\kappa_1,\ldots,\kappa_N$ and κ be the partial indices and the Maslov index of $-\overline{G}^{-1}G$. Suppose that the following operator

$$L:\prod_{l=1}^r\left((1-\zeta)^{m_j}\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^{N_j}\to\prod_{l=1}^r\left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^{m_j}}\right)^{N_j}$$

defined by

$$L(f):=2\mathrm{Re}\left[\overline{G}f\right]$$

is well-defined. Denote by $\tilde{G}(\zeta)$ the following matrix

$$\tilde{G}(\zeta)=\begin{pmatrix}G_1(\zeta)&&&(0)\\&G_2(\zeta)&&\\&&\ddots&\\(0)&&&G_r(\zeta)\end{pmatrix}$$

and by $\tilde{L}$ the corresponding operator. The kernels of L and $\tilde{L}$ are of the same dimension and L is onto if and only if $\tilde{L}$ is onto. Therefore a direct application of Theorem 3.2 gives:

Theorem 3.4. *Under the above assumptions:*

(i) The map L is onto if and only if $\kappa_l \geq m_j - 1$ for all $l = \sum_1^{j-1} N_\nu + 1, \cdots, \sum_1^j N_\nu$ and all $j = 1, \cdots, r$.

(ii) Assume that L is onto. Then the kernel of L has real dimension $\kappa + N - \sum_{j=1}^r N_j m_j$.

Remark 3.5. Note that the case of constraints at points $\zeta \in b\Delta$ other than 1 can be reduced to the case of the above theorem by means of rotations.

3.4. **An example of application.** Consider the operator

$$T : \left(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^4 \to \left(C^{k,\alpha}\right)^4$$

defined by

$$T(f) = 2\mathrm{Re}\ \left[\overline{G'} f\right]$$

where the matrix map $G'(\zeta)$ is given by

$$G'(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \zeta(1-\zeta)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dfrac{(1-\zeta)^2}{\zeta} & 0 & \zeta \\ 0 & -\dfrac{i(1-\zeta)^2}{2\zeta} & \dfrac{-i(1-\zeta)^2}{\zeta^2} & -i\zeta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\det G'(\zeta) = (1-\zeta)^4/\zeta$. The map T fails to be surjective and the usual method based on Birkhoff's factorization falls apart. Instead we study the operator T as a map from $\left(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^4$ to $C^{k,\alpha} \times \left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^2}\right)^2 \times C^{k,\alpha}$. In order to use Theorem 3.4, we factorize

$$G'(\zeta) = G(\zeta)\overline{D(\zeta)}$$

where

$$G(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \zeta^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & \zeta \\ 0 & -\dfrac{i\zeta}{2} & -i & -i\zeta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i\zeta^2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_4(\mathbb{C})$$

and where $D(\zeta)$ is the Banach space isomorphism

$$D(\zeta) : \left(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^4 \to \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha} \times \left((1-\zeta)^2 \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^2 \times \mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}$$

defined by

$$D(\zeta)(f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4)=(f_1,(1-\zeta)^2f_2,(1-\zeta)^2f_3,f_4).$$

It follows that the matrix map G and the associated operator

$$L:\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\times\left((1-\zeta)^2\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^2\times\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\to C^{k,\alpha}\times\left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^2}\right)^2\times C^{k,\alpha}$$

defined by $L(f)=2\text{Re}\left[\overline{G}f\right]$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 3.4, and the problem therefore reduces to estimating the partial indices of $-\overline{G}^{-1}G$ and computing its Maslov index. As pointed out right before Theorem 3.4, for the purpose of studying the indices we consider the block diagonal part of G, namely

$$\tilde{G}(\zeta)=\begin{pmatrix}-1&0&0&0\\0&\zeta&0&0\\0&-\dfrac{i\zeta}{2}&-i&0\\0&0&0&-i\zeta^2\end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\tilde{G}}^{-1}\tilde{G}&=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&\zeta^2&0&0\\0&-\zeta&-1&0\\0&0&0&-\zeta^4\end{pmatrix}\\&=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&-1&-\zeta&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&i\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&\zeta&0&0\\0&0&\zeta&0\\0&0&0&\zeta^4\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&-1&-\dfrac{1}{\zeta}&0\\0&0&0&i\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Following the notations of Theorem 3.4, we have $\kappa_1=0,\kappa_2=\kappa_3=1,\kappa_4=4,\ \kappa=6$, $N_1=1,N_2=2,N_3=1,\ \ N=4$ and $m_1=0,m_2=2,m_3=0$. It follows that the operator L, and thus $T=L\circ D:\left(\mathcal{A}^{k,\alpha}\right)^4\to C^{k,\alpha}\times\left(C^{k,\alpha}_{0^2}\right)^2\times C^{k,\alpha}$, is surjective and its kernel has real dimension $6+4-1\cdot0-2\cdot2-1\cdot0=6$.

References

[1] E.J. Beggs, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for singular positive loops*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **24** (1994), 1231-1254.

[2] G.D. Birkhoff, *On a simple type of irregular singular point*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1913), 462-476.

[3] F. Forstnerič, *Analytic disks with boundaries in a maximal real submanifold of* $\mathbb{C}^2$, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987), 1-44.

[4] J. Globevnik, *Perturbation by analytic discs along maximal real submanifolds of* $\mathbb{C}^N$, Math. Z. **217** (1994), 287-316.
[5] J. Globevnik, *Perturbing analytic discs attached to maximal real submanifolds of* $\mathbb{C}^N$, Indag. Math. **7** (1996), 37-46.
[6] D. Hilbert, *Grundzüge einer allgemeinen theorie der linearen integralgleichungen*, Leipzig, 1912.
[7] L. Lempert, *La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), 427-474.
[8] J. Plemelj, *Ein Ergänzungssatz zur Cauchyschen integraldarstellung analytischer funktionen*, Randwerte betreffend, (German) Monatsh. Math. Phys. **19** (1908), 205-210.
[9] W. Pogorzelski, *Integral equations and their applications*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1966.
[10] N.P. Vekua, *Systems of singular integral equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen (1967) 216 pp.

Florian Bertrand
Department of Mathematics, fellow at the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences
American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail address: florian.bertrand@univie.ac.at

Giuseppe Della Sala
Department of Mathematics, fellow at the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences
American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon
E-mail address: gd16@aub.edu.lb